



INCORPORATED COUNTY OF LOS ALAMOS

101 Camino Entrada, Building 3
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544 (505) 663-3507
Procurement Division



November 7, 2024

TO ALL HOLDERS OF SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS FOR:

**Invitation for Bids No. IFB25-15
IFB Name: 8-Unit T-Hangar Construction**

Bids Due: 2:00 p.m. (local), November 14, 2024

Addendum No. Five (5)

This Addendum is hereby made a part of the contract documents and specifications of the above referenced project. All other requirements of the original specification shall remain in effect in their respective order. **Acknowledge receipt of this addendum by inserting its number and date in the proposal form.**

ATTACHMENTS:

- Pre-Bid Meeting Agenda
- Pre-Bid Meeting Attendance List
- Questions and Answers

PRE-BID CONFERENCE AGENDA

Construct T-Hangars

Los Alamos County Airport
Los Alamos, New Mexico

State Project No. LAM-24-02
Delta Project No. 23063

Location of Meeting: Virtual Online

Date of Meeting: 10/29/2024

1. Introductions & Attendance List
2. Plans & Specifications Availability
3. Bid Submission & Opening
4. Addenda & Bidder Questions
5. Project Scope & Funding Sources
6. Review of General Provisions
7. Review of Technical Specifications
8. Review of Plans
9. Other Discussion Items
10. Site Visit

PROJECT:	Construct T-Hangars			
AIRPORT:	Los Alamos Airport			
DATE:	10/29/2024	TIME:	02:00 PM MDT	LOCATION: Virtual

NAME	REPRESENTING	PHONE NO.	EMAIL ADDRESS
1 Steve Hart	Delta Airport Consultants, Inc.	(804)275-8301	shart@deltaairport.com
2 Cheryl Rodriguez	Delta Airport Consultants, Inc	(150)579-74921	crodriguez@deltaairport.com
3 Eric Martinez	Los Alamos County	(505)662-8101	eric.martinez@lacnm.us
4 Annalisa Miranda	Los Alamos County	(505)663-1889	annalisa.miranda@lacnm.us
5 Joseph Cully	Meridian Contracting	(915)525-9189	j.cuilty@meridiancon.net
6 Dante Gonzalez	Bradbury Stamm Construction	(505)228-1555	dgonzalez@bradburystamm.com
7 Ernie Shoup	B&C Steel Corp	(505)330-9692	Eshoup2100@msn.com
9			
10			

**Construct T-Hangars
Los Alamos Airport
Los Alamos, New Mexico**

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. **QUESTION:** Sheet 8 calls for a Neenah R-4999-L3 Type "L" Grate or approved equal which is a manufactured item at 14" wide, but the trench detail calls for 12" opening. Please verify if the opening should be 12" or 14".

ANSWER: The Neenah R-4999-L3 Type "L" Grate is 14" wide to allow for a 12" wide trench as shown on the detail.
2. **QUESTION:** Sheet 9 reflects a manhole detail, please verify which structure should reference this was detail.

ANSWER: The manhole detail on Sheet 9 does not refer to any structure in the Plan Set. This detail will not be used in this project.
3. **QUESTION:** Item P-101 notes that the pavement removal, under Method of Measurement, should be considered incidental to Item D-701 and Item D-751. However, how should the pavement removal be measured with relation to item 36.SP?

ANSWER: Similar to Items D-701 and D-751, Item 36.SP – 12" Trench Drain shall consider all pavement removal relevant to the installation of the trench drain as incidental to Item 36.SP.
4. **QUESTION:** The building supplier is asking for any additional design details that can be shared for the fire barrier. Currently the only reference is in the plans on sheet 12 note 5. Please provide any requirements on the material and/or design thickness at this location.

ANSWER: The contractor's engineer for the building shall provide the design for a 3-hour rated firewall. Thickness and materials will depend on the engineer's final design for the building.
5. **QUESTION:** Section 108-3.4 identifies that "Inspections shall be conducted by ICC Certified personnel". Please clarify if the contractor or client is responsible for this inspection.

ANSWER: The contractor is responsible for coordinating and paying for the inspections required by the permitting process.

6. QUESTION: During the pre-bid the question was asked verifying if the electrical contractor will need to make any consideration for explosion proof installations. Attached is a PDF provided by a quoter expanding on the current requirements. Please clarify if explosion-proof installations will be required for this project.
- ANSWER: We do not anticipate the need for explosion proof electrical installations, but defer to the permitting agencies if they have additional requirements.
7. QUESTION: It has been identified by the building suppliers that the bi-fold doors will require a slope of 1% or less to function. However, there is a requirement to create a watertight seal along the west and southern faces of the structure. Given the varying slope across the existing asphalt and areas exceeding 1%, please clarify the requirement for a watertight seal and what is the allowable tolerance under these conditions.
- ANSWER: The intent is to direct runoff into the trench drain along the west side of the hangar. A flexible material may be used to provide the appropriate seal at the door. It is understood that a waterproof seal is not required, and a small amount of water may penetrate into the hangar and flow out of the east side. The south face of the hangar is a solid wall and should be provided with a waterproof barrier (curb, wall, or other method).
8. QUESTION: Please provide a sign-in sheet and/or roster for the pre-bid meeting.
- ANSWER: The attendance list is attached to this Addendum.
9. QUESTION: Can P-631 Coal Tar Emulsion Seal Coat be used as an approved alternate material in-lieu of the P-608?
- ANSWER: No. The existing pavement was previously treated with P-608 sealant containing gilsonite, which is not compatible with the P-631 sealant.